



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/359,648	07/26/1999	KIRK K. CHANG	2925-253P	3108

30594 7590 03/12/2004

HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.
P.O. BOX 8910
RESTON, VA 20195

EXAMINER

CUMMING, WILLIAM D

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2683

82

DATE MAILED: 03/12/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/359,648

Applicant(s)

CHANG, ET AL

Examiner

WILLIAM D CUMMING

Art Unit

2683

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 January 2003.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,3 and 5-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 1,3,5-7 and 9-19 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 8 and 20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

The specification does not provide an enabling disclosure for the software for calculating since the software is not disclose and known only to the inventors as stated by claim 20. In computer applications, it is not unusual for the claimed invention to involve two areas of prior art or more than one technology, e.g., an appropriately programmed computer and an area of application of said computer. *White Consol. Indus.*, 214 USPQ at 821. In regard to the "skilled in the art" standard, in cases involving both the art of computer programming, and another technology, the examiner must recognize that the knowledge of persons skilled in both technologies is the appropriate criteria for determining sufficiency. See *In re Naquin*, 398 F.2d 863, 158 USPQ 317 (CCPA 1968); *In re Brown*, 477 F.2d 946, 177 USPQ 691 (CCPA 1973); and *White Consol. Indus. v. Vega Servo-Control, Inc.*, 214 USPQ 796, 822 (S.D.Mich. 1982), *aff'd on related grounds*, 713 F.2d

788, 218 USPQ 961 (Fed. Cir. 1983). In a typical computer application, system components are often represented in a "*block diagram*" format, i.e., a group of hollow rectangles representing the elements of the system, functionally labeled, and interconnected by lines. Such block diagram computer cases may be categorized into (A) systems which include but are more comprehensive than a computer and (B) systems wherein the block elements are totally within the confines of a computer.

The first category of such block diagram cases involves systems which include a computer as well as other system hardware and/or software components. In order to meet his or her burden of establishing a reasonable basis for questioning the adequacy of such disclosure, the examiner should initiate a factual analysis of the system by focusing on each of the individual block element components. More specifically, such an inquiry should focus on the diverse functions attributed to each block element as well as the teachings in the specification as to how such a component could be implemented. Based on such an analysis, the examiner has reasonably contend that more than routine experimentation would be required by one of ordinary skill in the art to implement such a component or components, that component or components is specifically be challenged by the examiner as part of this 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph rejection. Additionally, the examiner has determine whether certain of the hardware or software components depicted as block elements are themselves complex assemblages which have widely differing characteristics and which must

be precisely coordinated with other complex assemblages. Under such circumstances, a reasonable basis does exist for challenging such a functional block diagram form of disclosure. See *In re Ghiron*, 442 F.2d 985, 169 USPQ 723 (CCPA 1971) and *In re Brown, supra*. Moreover, even if the applicants has cited prior art patents or publications to demonstrate that particular block diagram hardware or software components are old, it should not always be considered as self-evident how such components are to be interconnected to function in a disclosed complex manner. See *In re Scarbrough*, 500 F.2d 560, 566, 182 USPQ 298, 301 (CCPA 1974) and *In re Forman*, 463 F.2d 1125, 1129, 175 USPQ 12, 16 (CCPA 1972). Furthermore, in complex systems including a digital computer, a microprocessor, or a complex control unit as one of many block diagram elements, timing between various system elements may be of the essence and without a timing chart relating the timed sequences for each element, an unreasonable amount of work may be required to come up with the detailed relationships an applicant alleges that he or she has solved. See *In re Scarbrough*, 500 F.2d at 566, 182 USPQ at 302. For example, in a block diagram disclosure of a complex claimed system which includes a microprocessor and other system components controlled by the microprocessor, a mere reference to a prior art, commercially available microprocessor, without any description of the precise operations to be performed by the microprocessor, fails to disclose how such a microprocessor would be properly programmed to either perform any required calculations or to coordinate the other system

components in the proper timed sequence to perform the functions disclosed and claimed. If, in such a system, a particular program is disclosed, such a program should be carefully reviewed to ensure that its scope is commensurate with the scope of the functions attributed to such a program in the claims. See *In re Brown*, 477 F.2d at 951, 177 USPQ at 695. Since, the disclosure fails to disclose any program and more than routine experimentation would be required of one skilled in the art to generate such a program, the examiner clearly has a reasonable basis for challenging the sufficiency of such a disclosure.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
4. Claims 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Chang, et al as stated in paragraph 8 of the Office action dated October 30, 2003.

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "*by another*," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 1, 3, 5-7, and 9-19 are allowed.
6. As allowable subject matter has been indicated, applicant's reply must either comply with all formal requirements or specifically traverse each requirement not complied with. See 37 CFR 1.111(b) and MPEP § 707.07(a).

Response to Arguments

7. Applicants arguments filed January 29, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

It must be emphasized that arguments of counsel alone cannot take the place of evidence in the record once an examiner has advanced a reasonable basis for questioning the disclosure. See *In re Budnick*, 537 F.2d at 538, 190 USPQ at 424; *In re Schulze*, 346 F.2d 600, 145 USPQ 716 (CCPA 1965); *In re Cole*, 326 F.2d 769, 140 USPQ 230 (CCPA 1964). For example, in a case where the record consisted substantially of arguments and opinions of applicant's attorney, the court indicated that factual affidavits could have provided important evidence on the issue of enablement. See *In re Knowlton*, 500 F.2d at 572, 183 USPQ at 37; *In re Wiseman*, 596 F.2d 1019, 201 USPQ 658 (CCPA 1979). Applicants' failed to provide evidence and arguments can not take the place of evidence.

Anticipatory reference need not duplicate, word for word, what is in claims; anticipation can occur when claimed limitation is "*inherent*" or otherwise implicit in relevant reference (Standard Havens Products Incorporated v. Gencor Industries Incorporated, 21 USPQ2d 1321). During examination before the Patent and Trademark Office, claims must be given their broadest reasonable interpretation and limitations from the specification may not be imputed to the claims (Ex parte Akamatsu, 22 USPQ2d, 1918; In re Zletz, 13 USPQ2d 1320, In re Priest, 199 USPQ 11). In response to Applicant's argument, the law of anticipation requires that a distinction be made between the invention described or taught and the invention claimed. It does not require that the reference "*teach*" what the subject patent teaches. Assuming that a reference is properly "*prior art*," it is only necessary that the claims under consideration "*read on*" something disclosed in the reference, i.e., all limitations of the claim are found in the reference, or "*fully met*" by it. It was held in In re Donohue, 226 USPQ 619, that, "*It is well settled that prior art under 35 USC §102(b) must sufficiently describe the claimed invention to have placed the public in possession of it...Such possession is effected if one of ordinary skill in the art could have combine the description of the invention with his own knowledge to make the claimed invention.*" Clear inference to the artisan must be considered, In re Preda, 159 USPQ 342. A prior art reference must be considered together with the knowledge of one of ordinary skill in the pertinent art, In re Samour, 197 USPQ 1. During patent examination, the pending claims must be "*given the*

broadest reasonable interpretation consistent with the specification." Claim term is not limited to single embodiment disclosed in specification, since number of embodiments disclosed does not determine meaning of the claim term, and applicant cannot overcome "*heavy presumption*" that term takes on its ordinary meaning simply by pointing to preferred embodiment (Teleflex Inc. v. Ficosa North America Corp., CA FC, 6/21/02, 63 USPQ2d 1374). Applicant always has the opportunity to amend the claims during prosecution and broad interpretation by the examiner reduces the possibility that the claim, once issued, will be interpreted more broadly than is justified. In re Prater, 415 F.2d 1393, 1404-05, 162 USPQ 541, 550-51 (CCPA1969). "*Arguments that the alleged anticipatory prior art is nonanalogous art' or teaches away from the invention' or is not recognized as solving the problem solved by the claimed invention, [are] not germane' to a rejection under section 102.*" Twin Disc, Inc. v. United States, 231 USPQ 417, 424 (Cl. Ct. 1986) (quoting In re Self, 671 F.2d 1344, 213 USPQ 1, 7 (CCPA 1982)). A reference is no less anticipatory if, after disclosing the invention, the reference then disparages it. The question whether a reference "*teaches away*" from the invention is inapplicable to an anticipation analysis. Celeritas Technologies Ltd. v. Rockwell International Corp., 150 F.3d 1354, 1361, 47 USPQ2d 1516, 1522-23 (Fed. Cir.1998).

Since the claims failed how the Bayes likelihood function is "*modified*", the examiner can take any modification, no matter how small. If the applicants claim their invention so broadly, it should not be a surprise to applicants that the examiner also examines the claims just as broad.

Conclusion

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

9. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the mailing date of this final action.

10. Patent Customers Advised to FAX Communications to USPTO

Facsimile transmissions of communications to the Office can significantly expedite processing of the communication within the Office. For example, when an assignment document is faxed to the Office, the average cycle time to process, record, and send a notice of recordation is one calendar day, whereas when the document is mailed to the Office, the average cycle time is 113 calendar days. See Status of Office of Public Records Services, 1269 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 19,20 (April 1, 2003).

With many of the facsimile numbers provided below (i.e., those numbers below with 746 or 872 after the area code in the facsimile number), a return receipt will be automatically generated that will include the number of pages received as well as the date and time the facsimile was received. Additionally, the return receipt will include an image of the received cover page. The return receipt will be automatically sent to the sender's facsimile machine so long as the sender's facsimile number is properly programmed in the sending facsimile machine, and the sender's facsimile machine is available to receive a fax immediately following the original transmission. (Note that with area code changes, or with movement of a facsimile machine from one number to another, the facsimile machine's number may need to be reprogrammed.) Even if a return receipt is not desired, at least the first page of the facsimile transmission must clearly indicate the date and time the transmission is sent, an identification of the business, other entity, or individual sending the transmission, and the telephone number of the sending machine or of such business, other entity, or individual. See 47 U.S.C. 227(d)(1)(B) and 47 CFR 68.318(d). A return receipt will be attempted to be sent several times, but if a busy signal is repeatedly received, a return receipt will not be received by the sender. Applicants are advised to use the certificate of facsimile transmission procedures when submitting a reply to an Office action by facsimile (see 37 CFR 1.6 and 1.8). Applicants are also advised to retain the return receipt in the event that the Office has no record of the facsimile submission.

PTO Form 2038 should be used when authorizing payment by credit card; this form is maintained separate from the file to ensure confidentiality. Note that current processing of assignment documents now permits use of a credit card, but that PTO form 2038 should be used to prevent credit card information from being included in public records. Form PTO-2038 may be downloaded at <http://www.uspto.gov/web/forms/2038.pdf> from the USPTO website.

Faxes to the Office of Initial Patent Examination

Responses to notices from the Office of Initial Patent Examination (OIPE) and requests for corrected filing receipts may be filed by facsimile. New applications (other than continued prosecution applications (CPAs) under 37 CFR 1.53(d)) may not be filed by facsimile. The following is a list of Official Facsimile Numbers for the OIPE:

Fax number for corrected Filing Receipt Requests: 703-746-9195

Fax number for Response to Notice to File

Missing Parts (drawings may not be submitted by fax): 703-746-4060

Telephone number for Customer Service: 703-308-1202

Faxes to the Technology Centers

In addition, communications may be faxed to the Technology Centers. The following is a list of Official Facsimile Numbers for the Technology Centers:

TC1600:

Before Final: 703-872-9306

After Final: 703-872-9307

Customer Service: 703-872-9305

Telephone number for customer service: (703) 308-0198

TC2800:

Before Final: 703-872-9318

After Final: 703-872-9319

Customer Service: 703-872-9317

Telephone number for customer service: (703)306-3329

TC1700:

Before Final: 703-872-9310

After Final: 703-872-9311

Customer Service: 703-872-9309

Telephone number for customer service: (703)306-5665

TC2900:

Before Final: 703-872-9322

After Final: 703-872-9323

Customer Service: 703-872-9321

Telephone number for customer service: (703)306-5648

TC2100:

Before Final: 703-746-7239

After Final: 703-746-7238

Customer Service: 703-746-7240

Telephone number for customer service: (703)306-5631

TC3600:

Before Final: 703-872-9326

After Final: 703-872-9327

Customer Service: 703-872-9325

Telephone number for customer service: (703)306-5771

TC2600:

Before Final: 703-872-9314

After Final: 703-872-9315

Customer Service: 703-872-9313

**Telephone number for customer
service: (703)306-0377**

TC3700:

Before Final: 703-872-9302

After Final: 703-872-9303

Customer Service: 703-872-9301

**Telephone number for customer
service: (703)306-5648**

Faxes to the Office of Patent Publication

Patent applicants are also reminded that 37 CFR 1.6(d) permits payment of an issue fee and a publication fee (if required) by facsimile transmission. When drawings are submitted with payment of an issue fee, they may be submitted by facsimile, although applicants are reminded that the facsimile process may reduce the quality of the drawings, and the Office will generally print the drawings received.

The applicable telephone numbers for payment of the issue and/or publication fee(s) by facsimile transmission are as follows:

Fax number for Issue Fee

(and any Publication Fee) Payments: (703) 746-4000

Telephone number to check on receipt

of payment (with Office of Patent Publication): (703) 305-8283

The Office of Patent Publication also handles many matters related to publication of patent applications. For example, express abandonments under 37 CFR 1.138(c) are handled by the Pre-Grant Publication Division of the Office of Patent Publication. In addition, requests to rescind a nonpublication request and notices of foreign filing should be directed to the Pre-Grant Publication Division. Questions regarding publication of patent applications (or rescissions of nonpublication requests) may also be directed by e-mail to pgpub@uspto.gov.

Fax number for PGPUB correspondence: (703) 305-8568

Telephone number for the Pre-Grant Publication Division: (703) 605-4283

Faxes of Assignment Documents

Facsimile transmission to record an assignment or other documents affecting title is also accepted. This process allows customers to submit their documents directly into the automated Patent and Trademark Assignment System and receive the resulting recordation notice at their fax machine. Credit card payments to record assignment documents are now accepted, but use of the credit card form (PTO Form-2038) is required for the credit card information to be separated from the assignment records. Only documents with an identified patent application or patent number, a single cover sheet to record a single type of transaction, and the fee paid by a USPTO deposit account or credit card may be submitted via facsimile. Please refer to our Web Site, at <http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/ac/ido/opr/ptasfax.pdf> for more information regarding the submission of assignment documents via facsimile.

Fax number for Automated Patent
and Trademark Assignment system: 703-306-5995

Telephone number for Assignment
Division for assistance: 703-308-9723

Faxes to the Office of Petitions

Applicable correspondence may be submitted to the Office of Petitions via facsimile. For questions concerning Petitions, contact the Office of Petitions at the numbers below:

Fax number for the Office of Petitions: 703-308-6916

Telephone number for customer service and inquiries: 703-305-9282

11. If applicants wish to request for an interview, an "*Applicant Initiated Interview Request*" form (PTOL-413A) should be submitted to the examiner prior to the interview in order to permit the examiner to prepare in advance for the interview and to focus on the issues to be discussed. This form should identify the participants of the interview, the proposed date of the interview, whether the interview will be personal, telephonic, or video conference, and should include a brief description of the issues to be discussed. A copy of the completed "*Applicant Initiated Interview Request*" form should be attached to the Interview Summary form, PTOL-413 at the completion of the interview and a copy should be given to applicant or applicant's representative.

12. If applicants request an interview after this **final rejection**, prior to the interview, the intended purpose and content of the interview should be presented briefly, in writing. Such an interview may be granted if the examiner is convinced that disposal or clarification for appeal may be accomplished with only nominal further consideration. Interviews merely to **restate arguments** of record or to **discuss new limitations** which would require more than nominal reconsideration or new search will be denied.

13. SPECIAL MAIL STOPS FOR PATENT MAIL

Effective May 1, 2003, the United States Patent and Trademark Office has a new address for some mail. See Correspondence with the United States Patent and Trademark Office, 68 Fed. Reg. 14332 (March 25, 2003). The mail stop should generally appear as the first line in the address, but the term "Mail Stop" may be abbreviated "MS". Special mail stop designations should be used to allow forwarding of particular types of mail to the appropriate areas as quickly as possible. Such mail may be forwarded to the appropriate area without being opened. Only the specified type of document should be placed in an envelope addressed to one of these special mail stops. If any documents other than the specified type identified for each special mail stop are addressed to that mail stop, they will be significantly delayed in reaching the appropriate area for which they are intended.

Some correspondence may only be submitted via the Office's electronic filing system (EFS). Instructions on how to file and what can be filed via EFS are posted on the Office's Internet Web site <http://www.uspto.gov> under the Electronic Business Center section.

Please address mail to be delivered by the United States Postal Service (USPS) as follows:

Mail Stop ____
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Please address mail to be delivered by other delivery services (Federal Express (Fed Ex), UPS, DHL, Laser, Action, Purolater, etc.) as follows:

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
2011 South Clark Place
Customer Window, Mail Stop ____
Crystal Plaza Two, Lobby, Room 1B03
Arlington, VA 22202

Mail Stop Designations	Explanation
Mail Stop 12	Contributions to the Examiner Education Program.
Mail Stop 313(c)	Petitions under 37 CFR 1.313(c) to withdraw a patent application from issue after payment of the issue fee and any papers associated with the petition, including papers necessary for a continuing application or a request for continued examination (RCE).
Mail Stop AF	Amendments and other responses after final rejection, other than an appeal brief.
Mail Stop Appeal Brief- Patents	For appeal briefs under 37 CFR 1.192 or reply briefs under 37 CFR 1.193(a).

Mail Stop Application Number	For fee and petitions under 37 CFR 1.182 to obtain Mail Stop Designation received and/or application number for patent applications prior to the Office's standard notification (return post card or the official "Filing Receipt," "Notice to File Missing Parts," or "Notice of Incomplete Application").
Mail Stop Comments- Patents	Public comments regarding patent related regulations and procedures.
Mail Stop Conversion	Requests under 37 CFR 1.53(c)(2) to convert a nonprovisional application to a provisional application and requests under 37 CFR 1.53(c)(3) to convert a provisional application to a nonprovisional application.
Mail Stop CPA	Requests for Continued Prosecution Applications (CPA's) under 37 CFR 1.53(d).
Mail Stop DD	Disclosure Documents or materials related to the Disclosure Document Program.
Mail Stop Design	The filing of all design patent applications that do not request expedited examination under 37 CFR 1.55.
Mail Stop EBC	Mail for the Electronic Business Center
Mail Stop ILS	Correspondence relating to international patent classification, exchanges and standards.
Mail Stop Expedited Design	Only to be used for the initial filing of design applications accompanied by a request for expedited examination under 37 CFR 1.155. (Design applicants seeking expedited examination may alternatively file a design application and corresponding request under 37 CFR 1.155 by hand-delivering the application papers and request directly to the Design Group Director's office.)
Mail Stop Issue Fee	All communications following the receipt of a PTOL-85, "Notice of Allowance and Fee(s) Due," and prior to the issuance of a patent should be addressed to Mail Stop Issue Fee, unless advised to the contrary. Issue fee payments can also be faxed to (703)746-4000. Assignments are the exception. Assignments (with cover sheets) should be faxed to (703)306-5995 or submitted in a separate envelope and be sent to Mail Stop Assignment Recordation Services, Director - US Patent and Trademark Office as shown below.
Mail Stop Missing Parts	Reply to the Notice to File Missing Parts of Application and associated papers and fees. Note that such correspondence may also be faxed to: 703-746-4060.
Mail Stop MPEP	Submissions concerning the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure.
Mail Stop Non-Fee Amendment	Non-fee amendments to patent applications. (Use Mail Stop AF for replies after final rejection.)

Mail Stop Patent Application	New patent applications and associated papers and fees.
Mail Stop Patent Ext.	Applications for patent term extension and any communications relating thereto.
Mail Stop Petition	Petitions to be decided by the Office of Petitions including petitions to revive and petitions to accept late payment of issue fees or maintenance fees.
Mail Stop PGPUB	Correspondence regarding publication of patent applications not otherwise provided, including requests for early publication made after filing, rescission of non-publication request, corrected patent application publication, refund of publication fee.
Mail Stop PGPUB - ABD	Petitions under 37 CFR 1.138 to expressly abandon an application to avoid publication of the application.
Mail Stop PGPUB Drawings	Drawings to be included in a patent application publication (replacement drawings for drawings included with a patent application on filing).
Mail Stop PCT	Mail related to applications filed under the Patent Cooperation Treaty.
Mail Stop Provisional Patent Application	The filing of all provisional patent applications and any communications relating thereto.
Mail Stop RCE	Requests for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114.
Mail Stop Reconstruction	Correspondence pertaining to the reconstruction of lost patent files.
Mail Stop <i>Ex Parte</i> Reexam	Requests for Reexamination for original request papers only .
Mail Stop <i>Inter Partes</i> Reexam	Requests for <i>Inter Partes</i> Reexamination for <i>original</i> request papers and for all <i>subsequent</i> correspondence other than correspondence to the Office of the Solicitor (see 37 CFR §§ 1.1(a)(3) and 1.302(c)).
Mail Stop Reissue	All new and continuing reissue application filings.
Mail Stop Sequence	Submission of diskette for applications with sequence listings.

ADDRESS FOR TRADEMARK MAIL

The box designations previously listed in Trademark Manual of Examining Procedure, Section 305.01 are no longer in use. See Change of Address for Mailing Trademark Correspondence, which is posted on the USPTO Internet web site at: <http://www.uspto.gov/web/trademarks/tmmailingaddressnotice.htm>. Please address mail to be delivered by the United States Postal Service (USPS) as follows:

Commissioner for Trademarks
2900 Crystal Drive
Arlington, Virginia 22202-3514

**SPECIAL MAIL STOPS APPLICABLE TO
BOTH PATENT AND TRADEMARK MAIL**

The following special mail stop designations are applicable to both patent and trademark related mail, and the recommendations for "Special Mail Stops for Patent Mail" (above) should be followed for the types of mail listed below.

Please address mail to be directed to a mail stop identified below to be delivered by the United States Postal Service (USPS) as follows (unless otherwise instructed):

Mail Stop _____
Director of the US Patent and
Trademark Office
PO Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Mail Stop Designations	Explanation
Mail Stop 3	Mail for the Office of Personnel from NFC
Mail Stop 6	Mail for the Office of Procurement.
Mail Stop 8	All papers for the Office of the Solicitor except communications relating to pending litigation and disciplinary proceedings; papers relating to pending litigation in court cases shall be mailed only to Office of the Solicitor, PO Box 15667, Arlington, VA 22215 and papers related to pending disciplinary proceedings before the Administrative Law Judge or the Director shall be mailed only to the Office of the Solicitor, PO Box 16116, Arlington, VA 22215.
Mail Stop 11	Mail for the Electronic Ordering Service (EOS).
Mail Stop 13	Mail for the Employee and Labor Relations Division.
Mail Stop 16	Mail related to refund requests.
Mail Stop 17	Invoices directed to the Office of Finance.
Mail Stop 24	Mail for the Inventor's Assistance Program, including complaints about Invention Promoters.
Mail Stop 171	Vacancy Announcement Applications.
Mail Stop Assignment Recordation Services	All assignment documents, security interests, and other documents to be recorded in the Assignment records. Note that documents with cover sheets faxed to (703)306-5995 are processed much more quickly than those submitted by mail.
Mail Stop Congressional Relations	Mail for the Office of Congressional Relations.
Mail Stop Document Services	All requests for certified or uncertified copies of patent or trademark documents.

Mail Stop EEO	Mail for the Office of Civil Rights.
Mail Stop Enforcement	Mail for the Office of Enforcement.
Mail Stop Interference	Communications relating to interferences and applications and patents involved in interference.
Mail Stop International Relations	Mail for the Office of International Relations.
Mail Stop M Correspondence	Correspondence related to maintenance fees other than payments of maintenance fees in patents. See below for the address for maintenance fee payments.
Mail Stop OED	Mail for the Office of Enrollment and Discipline.

Maintenance Fee Payments

Payments of maintenance fees in patents not submitted electronically over the Internet at www.uspto.gov should be mailed to:

United States Patent and Trademark
Office
PO Box 371611
Pittsburgh, PA 15250-1611

Deposit Account Replenishments

To send payment to replenish deposit accounts, send the payments to:

Director of the United States Patent and
Trademark Office
PO Box 70541
Chicago, IL 60673

Questions regarding the information provided on this page should be directed to: Darnell Jayne, Legal Advisor in the Office of Patent Legal Administration, by telephone at (703) 308-6906 or by e-mail addressed to Darnell.Jayne@USPTO.gov.

14. The American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) enacted provisions for the continued examination of a utility or plant application at the request of the applicant (request for continued examination or RCE practice). Since continued prosecution application (CPA) practice is largely redundant in view of RCE practice, the Office is eliminating CPA practice as to utility and plant applications. An applicant for a utility or plant patent may also continue to effectively obtain further examination of the application by filing a continuing application. Since RCE practice does not apply to design applications, CPA practice will remain in place for design applications.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 14, 2003

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Eugenia A. Jones, by telephone at (703) 306 –5586, or by mail addressed to: Box Comments — Patents, Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231, or by facsimile to (703)872 –9404, marked to the attention of Eugenia A. Jones.

15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **WILLIAM D CUMMING** whose telephone number is 703-305-4394. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday & Wednesday 11:30am to 8:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **WILLIAM TROST** can be reached on 703-308-5318. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

16. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Wdc



UNITED STATES
PATENT AND
TRADEMARK OFFICE

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "William Cumming", written over a horizontal line.

William Cumming
Primary Patent Examiner
(703) 305-4394
(703) 746-6075 Fax
william.cumming@uspto.gov